## REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application in view of the following remarks and the above amendments. This response is believed to fully address all issues raised in the Office Action mailed May 11, 2007. Furthermore, no new matter is believed to have been introduced hereby.

Claims 1, 7, 13, and 19-29 were previously pending. Claims 13, 25-27, and 29 have been amended as detailed above. Accordingly, claims 1, 7, 13, and 19-29 remain pending in the present application.

### 35 USC § 112 Rejection of the Claims

Amendments provided herein are believed to fully address outstanding rejections of claims 13, 25-27, and 29 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph.

# Claim and Specification Objections

Amendments provided herein are believed to fully address outstanding objections to the specification and claims 13, 25-27, and 29.

#### Double Patenting Rejection

Claim 1, 7, 13, and 19-29 stand rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6, and 11 of U.S. Patent No.: 6,629,147. In response, applicant has submitted herewith an appropriate terminal disclaimer. Hence, the double patenting rejection of claims 1, 7, 13, and 19-29 is now moot.

## Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney (720-840-6740) to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-4238.